Protective Effect of Ghrelin on Sodium Valproate-induced Liver Injury in Rat
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Ghrelin is a peptide that has protective effects on many tissues injury. It has anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant effects. Sodium valproate is widely used anticonvulsant and anti-depression drug with hepatotoxic side effects. The aim of this study was to evaluated the protective role of ghrelin in liver toxicity due to sodium valproate overdose. Eighteen rats were used in this study and divided in to three groups, containing: control, sodium valproate, and sodium valproate and ghrelin groups. Nitric oxide (NO), prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and hepatic enzymes AST (aspartate aminotransferase) and ALT (alanine aminotransferase), were assessed and histologic study of liver were performed as indicators of liver damage following sodium valproate toxicity. This study showed the ghrelin decreased ALT and AST to the normal level. Our results show that ghrelin significantly increased NO metabolites and decreased PGE2 level comparison with sodium valproate group, but had no significant change compared to the control group. we showed that ghrelin administration inhibited liver injury in rats due to sodium valproate toxicity.
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Valproate (2-propylpentanoic acid) is a widely used anticanvulsant and anti depression drug throughout the word (Shaat et al., 2006).Death due to hepatotoxicity have been reported, mainly in children (Bellringer et al., 1988).

The mechanism by which VPA causes liver damage is belived to be mediated by some of its metabolites (Shaat et al., 2006). VPA is metabolized primarily in the liver by three routes; cytochrome P450, fatty acid, β-oxidation and conjugation to active and inactive metabolites. Some VPA metabolites (β-oxidation) are thought to be potentially hepatotoxic (Liston et al., 2001; Silva et al., 2002). Less than 5% of metabolites is excreted.
Ghrelin is a newly discovered gut hormone, mainly produced in the stomach (Ariyasu et al., 2001), but also identified in endocrine cells of the gastrointestinal tract (Date et al., 2000).

Ghrelin plays a role in a number of different physiological processes. For example, it enhances growth hormone secretion and increases appetite (Shiiya et al., 2002), regulates cell proliferation (Yoshihara et al., 2002), stimulates prolactin and adrenocorticotropic hormone (Kojima et al., 2004), promotes slow wave sleep (Weikel et al., 2003) and memory retention (Carlini et al., 2002).

In the stomach, ghrelin affects gastric acid secretion, motility and exhibits gastroprotective effect (Brzozowski et al., 2004). In mammals, ghrelin plays an important role in the immune system (Yada et al., 2006). Previous study showed ghrelin administration inhibited liver injury in rats due to acetaminophen toxicity (Golestan Jahromi et al., 2010). Ghrelin significantly increased the bioactivation of nitric oxide (Tesauro et al., 2005), mucosal prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) (Sibilia et al., 2003) and inhibited the activation of Nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-KB) and plasma tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) level (Konturek et al., 2006). The antioxidation and anti-inflammatory effects of ghrelin were previously demonstrated (EI Eter et al., 2007).

As the main mechanism of VPA toxicity is via inflammation and oxidative stress, this study was designed to evaluate the possible protective effect of ghrelin in hepatocellular damage secondary to VPA toxicity in rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Valproic acid sodium salt and Ghrelin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Animals and experimental protocols**

Eighteen male Wistar rats (200-250 g) were used. All animals were kept in an animal room in a controlled temperature and 12:12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. All procedures were approved by the ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

The animals were equally divided into three groups (n=6) including: (A) control group; animals were given saline solution as vehicle, (B) VPA group; rats were given once a day VPA (500 mg/kg B.W i.p) for four consecutive days (Nahrevanian et al., 2009) and (C) VPA+ghrelin group; rats were treated with ghrelin (10 nmol/kg single dose i.p) 1 hour after VPA injection in days 3 and 4 (Tesauro et al., 2005).

Three hours after the last injection of gherlin, rats were anesthetized with i.p injection of ketamine-zylaxine (50 and 8 mg/kg, respectively) (Golestan Jahromi et al., 2010) and the abdomen was opened medially. Then, whole blood samples (1 ml) were taken from the heart. Blood samples were centrifuged (4000 g, 15 min) and serum was collected for serological tests including aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Also, liver middle lob tissue was fixed in a buffered-formaldehyde solution (10%) for histological studies. Other lobs immediately frizzed kept at -70 °C for measurement of PGE₂ and NO by ELISA and Griess methods, respectively.

**Liver tissues homogenate preparation for determination of PGE₂ and NO**

Weighed samples of liver tissue (0.5 g) were placed in 1.5 ml microfuge tubes and homogenized using an electrical homogenizer (Model RS541-242, RS Components, Corby, UK) (Tesauro et al., 2005). Then homogenates tested for PGE₂ using a high
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sensitivity PGE2 Chemiluminescence Enzyme Immunoassay (CEI) Kit (Assay Designs Inc., MI, USA) and NO metabolites with the Griess Micro Assay method (Nahrevanian et al., 2009).

**Histology and microscopic structural examinations**

The liver middle lob tissue from the specimens were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, passaged and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin blocks were sectioned by 3-5 μm thickness and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H & E) and Masson Trichrome stains to study the general structure under microscopic observations.

The grade of histological study was semi quantitatively scored using the following parameters (Ishak et al., 1995):

A* Piecemeal necrosis:
0-Absent,
1-Mild (focal, few portal areas),
2-Mild/moderate (focal, most portal areas),
3-Moderate (continuous around<50% of tracts or septa),
4-Severe (continuous around>50% of tracts or septa).

B* Confluent necrosis:
0-Absent,
1-Focal confluent necrosis,
2-Centrolobular necrosis in some areas,
3-Centrolobular necrosis in most areas,
4-Centrolobular necrosis + occasional portal-central (P-C) bridging,
5-Centrolobular necrosis + multiple P-C bridging,
6-Panlobular or multilobular necrosis.

C* Focal (Spotty) lytic necrosis, apoptosis, and focal inflammation:
0-Absent,
1-One focus or less per 10× objective,
2-One to four foci per 10× objective,
3-Five to 10 foci per 10× objective,
4-More than 10 foci per 10× objective.

D*Portal inflammation:
0-None,
1-Mild, some or all portal areas,
2-Moderate, some or all portal areas,
3-Moderate/marked, all portal areas,
4-Marked, all portal areas.

**Fibrosis:
0-No fibrosis,
1-Fibrous expansion of some portal areas, with or without short fibrous septa,
2-Fibrous expansion of most portal areas, with or without short fibrous septa,
3-Fibrous expansion of most portal areas with occasional portal-portal (P-P) bridging,
4-Fibrous expansion of portal areas with marked bridging (portal-portal (P-P) as well as portal central (P-C)),
5-Marked bridging (P-P and/or P-C) with occasional noduls (incomplete cirrhosis),
6-Cirrhosis, probable or definite.

**Statistical analysis**

All values were expressed as Mean±S.E. Statistical analysis performed with analysis of variances (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey tests using version SPSS 17.0. For the comparison of nonparametric data using Kruskal-Wallis test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

*Liver enzymes measurement*

Animals injected with VPA alone (group B) showed significantly elevated levels of hepatic
enzymes, AST and ALT compared to the control group (group A) (P<0.05) (Table 1). AST and ALT decreased significantly in the group that received ghrelin after VPA administration (group C) compared to the group that received VPA alone (group B) (p<0.05). Ghrelin increased AST and ALT to normal levels (no significant difference with the group A) (P<0.6, P<0.5, P<0.8, respectively) (Table 1).

**NO metabolites measurement**

Our finding showed that levels of NO metabolites of liver tissue in VPA group (group B) had significant change compared to the control group (group A) (107.08±21.12, 141.29±24.21 μM/gr.Wet weight, respectively) (p<0.05) (Fig.1), it significantly increased by using ghrelin after VPA administration (109.5±21.14 μM/gr.Wet weight) (p<0.05) (Fig.1). Also, our data showed that levels of NO of liver tissue in group that received ghrelin after VPA (group C) had no significant change compared to the control group (P<0.6) (Fig.1).

**PGE2 measurement**

PGE2 level of liver tissue in VPA group was significantly higher than control group (25.91±2.9, 11.58±1.29 Pg/gr Wet weight, respectively) (p<0.05), but it significantly decreased by using ghrelin after VPA administration (11.68±1.31 Pg/gr Wet weight) (p<0.05) (Fig.2). Our finding showed that levels of PGE2 of liver tissue in group that received ghrelin after VPA (group C) had no significant change compared to the control group (P<0.6) (Fig.2).

**Histological study**

The microscopic study showed infinitesimal damage in rat liver tissue (0.83±0.3), but did not show any fibrosis in control group. Morphological changes following VPA injected (2.3±0.21) and areas of confluent fibrosis (2.3±0.42) were significantly increased than to the control group (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). Treatment with ghrelin has significantly reduced liver injury and fibrosis (1.16±0.47, 0.66±0.21, respectively) compared to the VPA group (p<0.001, p<0.01, respectively) (Table 2, Fig. 3).

Data were expressed as Mean±SEM. n=6, §P<0.05, ALT in VPA group compared to control group, †p<0.05, ALT in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group, ‡P<0.05, AST in VPA group compared to control group, †p<0.05, AST in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group compared to control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>ALT (U/L)</th>
<th>AST (U/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>65.5±3.86</td>
<td>170.8±28.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>80.8±4.14§</td>
<td>246±31.18†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA+GHR</td>
<td>66.4±3.92‖</td>
<td>184.2±29.12‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Effects of ghrelin and sodium valporate on levels of hepatic enzymes.

Data were expressed as Mean±SEM. n=6, §P<0.05, ALT in VPA group compared to control group, †p<0.05, ALT in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group, ‡P<0.05, AST in VPA group compared to control group, †p<0.05, AST in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group compared to control group.
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Figure 1: The level of liver tissue NO metabolites in different experimental groups (Mean±SEM, n=6). *p<0.05, liver tissue NO in VPA group compared to control group. †p<0.05, liver tissue NO in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group.

Table 2: Histological activity index (HAI) was assessed based on the degree of microscopic lesions (Piecerneal necrosis, Confluent necrosis, Focal (Spotty litic necrosis, apoptosis, focal inflammation), Portal inflammation, Fibrosis). Data of each group were reported as Means±SEM based on sum of the scores histological criteria (overall score) using Kruskal-Wallis test (n=6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Piecerneal necrosis</th>
<th>Confluent necrosis</th>
<th>Focal necrosis (Spotty litic necrosis, apoptosis, focal inflammation)</th>
<th>Portal inflammation</th>
<th>Overall score</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66±0.21</td>
<td>0.16±0.16</td>
<td>0.83±0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>1.3±0.21</td>
<td>0.33±0.33</td>
<td>1.5±0.22</td>
<td>1.16±0.16</td>
<td>2.3±0.21 †</td>
<td>2.3±0.42 ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA+GHR</td>
<td>0.16±0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66±0.21</td>
<td>0.33±0.21</td>
<td>1.16±0.47 †</td>
<td>0.66±0.21 †</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†† P<0.001, compared to control group, †p<0.001, compared to VPA group, ‡‡ P<0.001, compared to control group, †p<0.01, compared to VPA group.

Figure 2: The level of liver tissue PGE2 in different experimental groups (Mean±SEM, n=6). †p<0.05, PGE2 level in VPA group compared to control group, †p<0.05, PGE2 level in VPA+GHR group compared to VPA group.
DISCUSSION

In the present study the hepatic toxicity caused by acute administratin of sodium valproate was investigated; it could indeed be ameliorated by treatment with ghrelin.

Some of GI hormons play a major role in the regulation of inflammatory and fibrojenic process in a variety tissues. Ghrelin is a GI hormone that is also produced by extraintestinal tissues and it has protective effects in different organ including the pancreas, heart, gastrointestinal tract and liver (Golestan Jahromi et al., 2010; Moreno, et al., 2010).

In this study due to induction of liver toxicity by sodium valproate, serum ALT and AST, the diagnostic markers of liver damage, were elevated. These data indicate that VPA causes liver dysfuction. Administration of ghrelin improved liver toxicity and decreased level of these enzymes. Increased liver enzyme activity in this study was in agreement with other previous researcher (Shaat et al., 2006). Plasma transaminases are sensitive indicators of liver cell injury (Tong et al., 2005). Their elevated levels in VPA treated rat may be due to either direct hepatocyte damage or due to oxidative stress leading to apoptosis of hepatocytes.

Kupffer cells are the phagocytic macropages of the liver. When activated, kupffer cells release numerous signaling molecules, including hydrolytic enzymes, eicosanoids, NO and superoxide (Jaeckche et al., 2002; James et al., 2003). They may also release a number of inflammatory cytokinen, including TNF-α, interlukins, prostaglandins and oxygen radicals are released in liver toxicity (Martinez et al., 1992).

Animal experiments have shown that PGE2, TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, NO and iNOS are decreased in respons to VPA (Raoj et al., 2007). VPA was found to decrease the expression of COX2 presumably
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Author, this inhibition of NF-KB is likely to inhibit other NF-KB regulated genes. That is, NF-KB inhibition by VPA could be expected to decrease TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, NO, iNOS (Slominany and Slomiany, 2009). A recent study reported that chronic administrations of VPA in rat reduced protein levels of COX-1 and COX-2 total COX activity, and the metabolit of arshidonic produced via COX (Bosetti et al., 2003). Recently, showed that the gastroprotective effect of ghrelin was associated with the increase in NO and PGE2 production (Martinez et al., 1992). Ghrelin also improves endothelial function by inhibiting basal and tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α)-induced, production of chemotactic cytokines, increasing nitric oxide (NO) bioactivity part by its anti-inflammatory effect (Li et al., 2004).

In our study, VPA significantly decreases NO metabolites level in the liver tissue but ghrelin elevated it to the normal level. This finding agrees with the reports of Adelinof et. al. In addition to, in our study, PGE2 level of liver tissue was significantly increased in VPA group, but ghrelin reducted it to the control group, while other studies showed decreasing of PGE2 following VPA administration in liver tissue. This discrepancy of findings, may be due to duration and/or dosage of drug (VPA). We also observed piecernel necrosis, confluent necrosis, spotty litic necrosis, apoptosis, focal inflammation, portal inflammation and fibrosis in the liver tissue due to VPA administration.

Further more, histopatogical study of tissue damage by VPA in the liver confirmed previous finding that, it causes inflammation of the liver capsule, necrosis and steatosis (Tong et al., 2005). Also, in this study we showed that, treatment with ghrelin improved histological changes in the liver.

Inconclusion, we showed that ghrelin administration inhibited liver injury in rat due to VPA toxicity. The liver protective role of ghrelin may be mediatd at least in immunoreactivity levels in humans.
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